

LIST OF SHORTLISTED FIRMS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

RFQ NO. 12247-996

CONCEPTUAL STREETScape DESIGN LAS OLAS BOULEVARD

EDSA, INC.

KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

KEITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. d/b/a KEITH

THE CORRADINO GROUP, INC.



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - INITIAL RANKING

RFP# 12247-996
TITLE: Conceptual Streetscape Design for Las Olas Boulevard
DATE: 3/13/2019

Rater #1 - P. Thornburg										
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualification of Project Team			Approach to Scope of Work			Previous Similar Projects and References			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Kittelson & Assoc.	0.35	5	1.75	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	###	4.35
Cooper, Robertson & Partners	0.35	6	2.10	0.30	6	1.80	0.35	5	###	5.65
Keith and Associates	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	2	###	2.35
EDSA	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	1	###	1.95
Diamond Architecture	0.35	7	2.45	0.30	7	2.10	0.35	7	###	7.00
Keith and Schnars	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	5	1.50	0.35	6	###	5.00
The Corradino Group	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	3	###	1.70

Rater #2 - P. Berg										
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualification of Project Team			Approach to Scope of Work			Previous Similar Projects and References			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Kittelson & Assoc.	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	3	###	1.70
Cooper, Robertson & Partners	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	2	###	2.95
Keith and Associates	0.35	5	1.75	0.30	5	1.50	0.35	1	###	3.60
EDSA	0.35	6	2.10	0.30	6	1.80	0.35	6	###	6.00
Diamond Architecture	0.35	7	2.45	0.30	7	2.10	0.35	7	###	7.00
Keith and Schnars	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	5	###	3.75
The Corradino Group	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	4	###	3.00

Rater #3 - H. Barnes										
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualification of Project Team			Approach to Scope of Work			Previous Similar Projects and References			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Kittelson & Assoc.	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	###	3.65
Cooper, Robertson & Partners	0.35	6	2.10	0.30	6	1.80	0.35	6	###	6.00
Keith and Associates	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	2	###	1.35
EDSA	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	1	###	1.65
Diamond Architecture	0.35	7	2.45	0.30	7	2.10	0.35	7	###	7.00
Keith and Schnars	0.35	5	1.75	0.30	5	1.50	0.35	5	###	5.00
The Corradino Group	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	3	###	3.35

Rater #4 - A. Fajardo										
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualification of Project Team			Approach to Scope of Work			Previous Similar Projects and References			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Kittelson & Assoc.	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	3	###	2.35
Cooper, Robertson & Partners	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	5	1.50	0.35	2	###	3.60
Keith and Associates	0.35	6	2.10	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	###	4.70
EDSA	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	5	###	3.70
Diamond Architecture	0.35	7	2.45	0.30	7	2.10	0.35	7	###	7.00
Keith and Schnars	0.35	5	1.75	0.30	6	1.80	0.35	6	###	5.65
The Corradino Group	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	1	###	1.00

Rater #5 - B. Rogers													
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualification of Project Team			Approach to Scope of Work			Previous Similar Projects and References			Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points	FINAL RANKING	
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal				
Kittelson & Assoc.	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	5	###	3.75	3.16	15.80	3
Cooper, Robertson & Partners	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	1	###	1.90	4.02	20.1	5
Keith and Associates	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	3	###	2.05	2.81	14.1	2
EDSA	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	5	1.50	0.35	2	###	3.25	3.31	16.6	4
Diamond Architecture	0.35	7	2.45	0.30	7	2.10	0.35	7	###	7.00	7	35	7
Keith and Schnars	0.35	6	2.10	0.30	6	1.80	0.35	6	###	6.00	5.08	25.4	6
The Corradino Group	0.35	5	1.75	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	4	###	4.05	2.62	13.1	1