

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - FINAL RANKING

RFQ# **352**
TITLE: **Fire Stations 13 and 88 Design Criteria Packages**
DATE: **November 4, 2024 @ 12:30 p.m.**

Rater #1 - R. Bacic																	
PROPOSING FIRMS	Qualifications of Firm and Team			Experience and Past Performance with Fire Station Design			Approach to Scope of Work			Workload of the Firm			Total Points Awarded				
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal					
Brunton Architects, LTD Inc.	0.25	4	1.00	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	4	1.20	0.10	4	0.40	3.65				
CPZ Architects, Inc	0.25	3	0.75	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	2	0.20	3.25				
H2M Architects & Engineers, Inc.	0.25	1	0.25	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	1	0.10	1.00				
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	0.25	2	0.50	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	3	0.30	2.10				
Rater #2 - R. Betancourt																	
PROPOSING FIRMS	Qualifications of Firm and Team			Experience and Past Performance with Fire Station Design			Approach to Scope of Work			Workload of the Firm			Total Points Awarded				
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal					
Brunton Architects, LTD Inc.	0.25	4	1.00	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.10	4	0.40	4.00				
CPZ Architects, Inc	0.25	2	0.50	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	1	0.10	1.25				
H2M Architects & Engineers, Inc.	0.25	1	0.25	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	2	0.20	1.75				
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	0.25	3	0.75	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	3	0.30	3.00				
Rater #3 - I. Kindbom																	
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm and Team			Experience and Past Performance with Fire Station Design			Approach to Scope of Work			Workload of the Firm			Total Points Awarded				
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal					
Brunton Architects, LTD Inc.	0.25	2	0.50	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	4	1.20	0.10	2	0.20	2.60				
CPZ Architects, Inc	0.25	4	1.00	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	4	0.40	3.70				
H2M Architects & Engineers, Inc.	0.25	1	0.25	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	1	0.10	1.30				
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	0.25	3	0.75	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	3	0.30	2.40				
Rater #4 - J. Miller																	
PROPOSING FIRMS	Qualifications of Firm and Team			Experience and Past Performance with Fire Station Design			Approach to Scope of Work			Workload of the Firm			Total Points Awarded				
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal					
Brunton Architects, LTD Inc.	0.25	4	1.00	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	4	1.20	0.10	4	0.40	3.30				
CPZ Architects, Inc	0.25	3	0.75	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	2	0.20	2.90				
H2M Architects & Engineers, Inc.	0.25	1	0.25	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	1	0.10	1.00				
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	0.25	2	0.50	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	3	0.30	2.80				
Rater #5 - M. Spiteriy																	
PROPOSING FIRMS	Qualifications of Firm and Team			Experience and Past Performance with Fire Station Design			Approach to Scope of Work			Workload of the Firm			Total Points Awarded	Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Total Final Score	FINAL RANKING
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal					
Brunton Architects, LTD Inc.	0.25	2	0.50	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	2	0.20	2.00	3.06	15.55	15.55	4
CPZ Architects, Inc	0.25	3	0.75	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.10	3	0.30	3.65	2.96	14.75	14.75	3
H2M Architects & Engineers, Inc.	0.25	1	0.25	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	1	0.10	1.00	1.26	6.05	6.05	1
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	0.25	4	1.00	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	4	0.40	3.35	2.71	13.65	13.65	2

*Note: Local Vendor Preference and Disadvantaged Business Preferences are not applicable to this solicitation.